

---

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In Re: Pork Antitrust Litigation

Case No. 0:18-cv-01776-JRT-JFD

This Document Relates To:

All Consumer Indirect Purchaser  
Plaintiffs Actions

**JOINT MOTION REGARDING CONTINUED SEALING**

Documents have been filed under temporary seal in connection with the following motion:

<i>Defendants' Motion to Exclude the Testimony of Dr. Hal Singer</i>	<i>Doc No. 1466</i>
--	-------------------------

Pursuant to LR 5.6, the parties submit this Joint Motion Regarding Continued Sealing.

DKT. NO.	DKT. NO. OF REDACTED VERSION (IF FILED)	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT	PRECISELY IDENTIFY: a) The information that the parties agree should remain sealed; b) The information the parties agree should be unsealed; and c) The information about which the parties disagree.	NONPARTY THAT DESIGNATED DOC. CONFIDENTIAL (IF ANY)	REASON WHY DOCUMENT SHOULD REMAIN SEALED OR BE UNSEALED
1470	N/A	Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Joint Motion to Exclude the Expert Report and Testimony of Dr. Hal Singer	<p>The Parties agree to unseal certain portions of this brief, which is reflected in the filed attachment.</p> <p>The parties disagree as to the scope of redactions, and the attachment are those redactions that Defendants propose. Plaintiffs propose fewer redactions, which they file under separate cover.</p>		<p><u>Plaintiffs:</u> Except for confidential Third-Party data and documents, Plaintiffs propose unsealing the entire document because this case is of public significance, the proposed absent class members have due process interests in the evidence of defendants' wrongdoing, and the information is not a trade secret and does not appear to be commercially sensitive now in 2023.</p> <p><u>Defendants:</u> Redactions cover confidential business information and methods of parties and third parties. Those redactions are limited to (1) discussion of confidential data produced by the parties and third parties; and (2) quotes or descriptions of confidential documents.</p>
1474	N/A	Index to Exhibits Filed Under Seal	The parties agree that this document can be unsealed.		

1474-1	N/A	Exhibit A to the Declaration of Craig Coleman in Support of Defendants' Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Joint Motion to Exclude the Expert Report and Testimony of Dr. Hal Singer ("Coleman Singer Decl.")	The parties disagree as to whether this document should remain sealed. Defendants contend that the entire document should remain sealed. Plaintiffs contend that the document should be unsealed except for documents and data provided by third parties.		<p><u>Plaintiffs:</u> Except for confidential Third-Party data and documents, Plaintiffs oppose sealing as this case is of public significance, the proposed absent class members have due process interests in the evidence of defendants' wrongdoing, and the document is not a trade secret and does not appear to be commercially sensitive now in 2023.</p> <p><u>Defendants:</u> The Declaration contains a significant volume of citations to and discussion of documents and testimony that contains confidential business information and methods of parties and third parties, including sales data for both. Given the voluminous citations and extensive discussion, redactions are impractical. References to the Declaration contained in the parties' briefing is generally higher level and not redacted.</p>
1474-2	N/A	Exhibit B to the Coleman Singer Decl.	The parties agree that this document can be unsealed.		
1474-3	N/A	Exhibit D to the Coleman Singer Decl.	The parties agree that this document can be unsealed.		

1474-4	N/A	Exhibit E to the Coleman Singer Decl.	<p>Plaintiffs' position is that this document should be unsealed.</p> <p>Defendants' position is that this document should remain sealed.</p>		<p><u>Plaintiffs</u>: Plaintiffs oppose sealing as this case is of public significance, the proposed absent class members have due process interests in the evidence of defendants' wrongdoing, and the document is not a trade secret and does not appear to be commercially sensitive now in 2023.</p> <p><u>Defendants</u>: Contains information regarding confidential business methods and analysis.</p>
1474-5	N/A	Exhibit G to the Coleman Singer Decl.	The parties agree that this document can be unsealed.		
1474-6	N/A	Exhibit H to the Coleman Singer Decl.	The parties agree that this document can be unsealed.		
1474-7	N/A	Exhibit I to the Coleman Singer Decl.	<p>Plaintiffs' position is that this document should be unsealed.</p> <p>Defendants' position is that this document should remain sealed.</p>		<p><u>Plaintiffs</u>: Plaintiffs oppose sealing as this case is of public significance, the proposed absent class members have due process interests in the evidence of defendants' wrongdoing, and the document is not a trade secret and does not appear to be commercially sensitive now in 2023.</p> <p><u>Defendants</u>: Contains information regarding confidential business methods and analysis.</p>
1474-8	N/A	Exhibit J to the Coleman Singer Decl.	The parties agree that this document can be unsealed.		

1474-9	N/A	Exhibit K to the Coleman Singer Decl.	The parties agree that this document can be unsealed.		
1474-10	N/A	Exhibit L to the Coleman Singer Decl.	The parties agree that this document can be unsealed.		
1474-11	N/A	Exhibit M to the Coleman Singer Decl.	The parties agree that this document can be unsealed.		
1474-12	N/A	Exhibit N to the Coleman Singer Decl.	The parties agree that this document can be unsealed.		
1474-13	N/A	Exhibit O to the Coleman Singer Decl.	The parties agree that this document can be unsealed.		
1474-14	N/A	Exhibit P to the Coleman Singer Decl.	The parties agree that this document can be unsealed.		
1474-15	N/A	Exhibit Q to the Coleman Singer Decl.	The parties agree that this document can be unsealed.		

1625	N/A	Consumer Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Joint Motion to Exclude the Expert Report and Testimony of Dr. Hal Singer	<p>The Parties agree to unseal certain portions of this brief, which is reflected in the filed attachment.</p> <p>The parties disagree as to the scope of redactions, and the attachment are those redactions that Defendants propose. Plaintiffs propose fewer redactions, which they file under separate cover.</p>	<p><u>Plaintiffs</u>: Except for confidential Third-Party data and documents, Plaintiffs propose unsealing the entire document because this case is of public significance, the proposed absent class members have due process interests in the evidence of defendants' wrongdoing, and the information is not a trade secret and does not appear to be commercially sensitive now in 2023.</p> <p><u>Defendants</u>: Redactions cover confidential business information and methods of parties and third parties. Those redactions are limited to (1) discussion of confidential data produced by the parties and third parties; and (2) quotes or descriptions of confidential documents.</p>
------	-----	---	---	---

1730	N/A	Reply Memorandum in Support of Defendants' Joint Motion to Exclude the Expert Report and Testimony of Dr. Hal J. Singer	<p>The Parties agree to unseal certain portions of this brief, which is reflected in the filed attachment.</p> <p>The parties disagree as to the scope of redactions, and the attachment are those redactions that Defendants propose. Plaintiffs propose that the entirety of the document be unsealed, which they file under separate cover.</p>	<p><u>Plaintiffs</u>: Except for confidential Third-Party data and documents, Plaintiffs propose unsealing the entire document because this case is of public significance, the proposed absent class members have due process interests in the evidence of defendants' wrongdoing, and the information is not a trade secret and does not appear to be commercially sensitive now in 2023.</p> <p><u>Defendants</u>: Redactions cover confidential business information and methods of parties and third parties. Those redactions are limited to (1) discussion of confidential data produced by the parties and third parties; and (2) quotes or descriptions of confidential documents.</p>
------	-----	---	--	---

Dated: February 10, 2023

/s/ Tiffany Rider Rohrbaugh

Tiffany Rider Rohrbaugh (*pro hac vice*)  
Rachel J. Adcox (*pro hac vice*)  
Lindsey Strang Aberg (*pro hac vice*)  
Allison Vissichelli (*pro hac vice*)  
AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDER LLP  
1901 L Street NW  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202) 912-4700  
trider@axinn.com  
radcox@axinn.com  
lstrang@axinn.com  
avissichelli@axinn.com

Jarod Taylor (*pro hac vice*)

AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDER LLP  
90 State House Square  
Hartford, CT 06103  
(860) 275-8109  
jtaylor@axinn.com

Craig M. Reiser (*pro hac vice*)

Kail Jethmalani (*pro hac vice*)  
AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDER LLP  
114 West 47th Street

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Craig S. Coleman

Richard A. Duncan (#0192983)  
Aaron D. Van Oort (#0315539)  
Craig S. Coleman (#0325491)  
Emily E. Chow (#0388239)  
Isaac B. Hall (#0395398)  
FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH  
LLP  
2200 Wells Fargo Center  
90 South Seventh Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3901  
(612) 766-7000  
richard.duncan@faegredrinker.com  
aaron.vanoort@faegredrinker.com  
craig.coleman@faegredrinker.com  
emily.chow@faegredrinker.com  
isaac.hall@faegredrinker.com

Jacob D. Bylund (*pro hac vice*)

Stephanie A. Koltookian (*pro hac vice*)

Robert C. Gallup (#0399100)

FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH  
LLP

801 Grand Ave., 33rd Floor  
Des Moines, IA 50309



New York, NY 10036  
(212) 728-2200  
creiser@axinn.com  
kjethmalani@axinn.com

David P. Graham (#0185462)  
DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC  
4000 Wells Fargo Center  
90 South Seventh Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 486-1521  
dgraham@dykema.com

***Counsel for Tyson Foods, Inc., Tyson  
Prepared Foods, Inc. and Tyson Fresh  
Meats, Inc.***

/s/ Christopher A. Smith  
Aaron Chapin (#0386606)  
Christopher A. Smith (*pro hac vice*)  
Tessa K. Jacob (*pro hac vice*)  
A. James Spung (*pro hac vice*)  
Jason Husgen (*pro hac vice*)  
Sarah L. Zimmerman (*pro hac vice filed*)  
HUSCH BLACKWELL LLP

(515) 248-9000  
jacob.bylund@faegredrinker.com  
stephanie.koltookian@faegredrinker.com  
robert.gallup@faegredrinker.com

Jonathan H. Todt (*pro hac vice*)  
FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH  
LLP  
1500 K Street NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
(202) 842-8800  
jonathan.todt@faegredrinker.com

John S. Yi (*pro hac vice*)  
FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH  
LLP  
One Logan Square, Suite 2200  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 988-2700  
john.yi@faegredrinker.com

***Counsel for Hormel Foods Corporation***

190 Carondelet Plaza, Ste 600  
St. Louis, MO 63105  
Telephone: (314) 480-1500  
aaron.chapin@huschblackwell.com  
chris.smith@huschblackwell.com  
tessa.jacob@huschblackwell.com  
james.spung@huschblackwell.com  
jason.husgen@huschblackwell.com  
sarah.zimmerman@huschblackwell.com  
kate.ledden@huschblackwell.com  
tanner.cook@huschblackwell.com

***Counsel for Triumph Foods, LLC***

/s/ Peter H. Walsh  
Peter H. Walsh (#0388672)  
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP  
80 South Eighth Street, Suite 1225  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 402-3000  
peter.walsh@hoganlovells.com

William L. Monts (*pro hac vice*)  
Justin W. Bernick (*pro hac vice*)  
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP  
Columbia Square  
555 Thirteenth Street, NW

/s/ Mark L. Johnson  
Mark L. Johnson (#0345520)  
Davida S. McGhee (#0400175)  
GREENE ESPEL PLLP  
222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2200  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 373-0830  
mjohnson@greeneespel.com  
dwilliams@greeneespel.com

Daniel Laytin, P.C. (*pro hac vice*)  
Christa Cottrell, P.C. (*pro hac vice*)  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
300 North LaSalle  
Chicago, IL 60654  
(312) 861-2000  
daniel.laytin@kirkland.com  
christa.cottrell@kirkland.com

***Counsel for Clemens Food Group, LLC  
and The Clemens Family Corporation***

Washington, D.C. 20004  
(202) 637-5600  
william.monts@hoganlovells.com  
justin.bernick@hoganlovells.com

***Counsel for Agri Stats, Inc.***

/s/ William L. Greene  
William L. Greene (#0198730)  
Peter J. Schwinger (#0388909)  
William D. Thomson (#0396743)  
STINSON LLP  
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 2600  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 335-1500  
william.greene@stinson.com  
peter.schwinger@stinson.com  
william.thomson@stinson.com

J. Nicci Warr (*pro hac vice*)  
STINSON LLP  
7700 Forsyth Blvd., Suite 1100  
St. Louis, MO 63105  
(314) 863-0800  
nicci.warr@stinson.com

***Counsel for Seaboard Foods LLC and  
Seaboard Corporation***

Dated: February 10, 2023

/s/ Shana E. Scarlett

Shana E. Scarlett

Rio Pierce

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO  
LLP

715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202

Berkeley, California 94710

Telephone: (510) 725-3000

Facsimile: (510) 725-3001

shanas@hbsslaw.com

riop@hbsslaw.com

Steve W. Berman

Breanna Van Engelen

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO  
LLP

1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000

Seattle, Washington 98101

Telephone: (206) 623-7292

Facsimile: (206) 623-0594

steve@hbsslaw.com

breannav@hbsslaw.com

Abigail D. Pershing

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO  
LLP

301 North Lake Ave, Suite 920

Pasadena, CA 91101

Telephone: (213) 330-7150

Facsimile: (213) 330-7152

abigailp@hbsslaw.com

Daniel E. Gustafson (#202241)  
Daniel C. Hedlund (#258337)  
Michelle J. Looby (#388166)  
Joshua J. Rissman (#391500)  
GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC  
120 South 6th Street, Suite 2600  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402  
Telephone: (612) 333-8844  
Facsimile: (612) 339-6622  
dgustafson@gustafsongluek.com  
dhedlund@gustafsongluek.com  
mlooby@gustafsongluek.com  
jrissman@gustafsongluek.com

***Counsel for Consumer Indirect Purchaser  
Plaintiffs***